arXiv:1404.1797v1 [math-ph] 7 Apr 2014

Coherent states and quantum numbers for twist-deformed oscillator model

Marcin Daszkiewicz

Institute of Theoretical Physics
University of Wroclaw, pl. Maxa Borna 9, 50 − 206 Wroclaw, Poland
e − mail : marcin@ift.uni.wroc.pl

Cezary J. Walczyk

Department of Physics
University of Bialystok, ul. Lipowa 41, 15 − 424 Bialystok, Poland
e − mail : c.walczyk@alpha.uwb.edu.pl

Abstract

The coherent states for twist-deformed oscillator model provided in article [1] are constructed. Besides, it is demonstrated that the energy spectrum of considered model is labeled by two quantum numbers - by so-called main and azimutal quantum numbers respectively.

The suggestion to use noncommutative coordinates goes back to Heisenberg and was firstly formalized by Snyder in [2]. Recently, there were also found formal arguments based mainly on Quantum Gravity [3], [4] and String Theory models [5], [6], indicating that space-time at Planck scale should be noncommutative, i.e. it should have a quantum nature. Consequently, there appeared a lot of papers dealing with noncommutative classical and quantum mechanics (see e.g. [7], [8]) as well as with field theoretical models (see e.g. [9], [10]), in which the quantum space-time is employed.

In accordance with the Hopf-algebraic classification of all deformations of relativistic [11] and nonrelativistic [12] symmetries, one can distinguish three basic types of space-time noncommutativity:

1) The canonical (soft) deformation

$$[\, x_\mu, x_\nu \,] = i\theta_{\mu\nu} \;, \tag{1}$$

with constant and antisymmetric tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. The explicit form of corresponding Poincare Hopf algebra has been provided in [13], [14], while its nonrelativistic limit has been proposed in [15].

2) The Lie-algebraic case

$$[\, x_\mu, x_\nu \,] = i\theta^\rho_{\mu\nu} x_\rho \;, \tag{2}$$

with particularly chosen constant coefficients $\theta^\rho_{\mu\nu}$. Particular kind of such space-time modification has been obtained as representations of κ-Poincare [16], [17] and κ-Galilei [18] Hopf algebras. Besides, the Lie-algebraic twist deformations of relativistic and nonrelativistic symmetries have been provided in [19], [20] and [15], respectively.

3) The quadratic deformation

$$[\, x_\mu, x_\nu \,] = i\theta^{\rho\tau}_{\mu\nu} x_\rho x_\tau \;, \tag{3}$$

with constant coefficients $\theta^{\rho\tau}_{\mu\nu}$. Its Hopf-algebraic realization was proposed in [21], [22] and [20] in the case of relativistic symmetry, and in [23] for its nonrelativistic counterpart.

Besides, it has been demonstrated in [24], that in the case of so-called N-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebras $\mathcal{U}_0^{(N)}(NH_\pm)$, the twist deformation provides the new space-time noncommutativity of the form[1],[2]

$$\mathbf{4)} \qquad [\, t, x_i \,] = 0 \quad , \quad [\, x_i, x_j \,] = i f_{\kappa\pm}(t)\, \theta_{ij}(x) \;, \tag{4}$$

with time-dependent functions

$$f_{\kappa+}(t) = \kappa f\left(\sinh\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \cosh\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right) \quad , \quad f_{\kappa-}(t) = \kappa f\left(\sin\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \cos\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right) \;,$$

[1] $x_0 = ct$.

[2] The discussed space-times have been defined as the quantum representation spaces, so-called Hopf modules (see e.g. [13], [14]), for quantum N-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebras.

$\theta_{ij}(x) \sim \theta_{ij} = \text{const}$ or $\theta_{ij}(x) \sim \theta^k_{ij}x_k$ and τ as well as κ denoting the cosmological constant and deformation parameter respectively. It should be also noted that different relations between all mentioned above quantum spaces (**1)**, **2)**, **3)** and **4)**) have been summarized in article [24].

Let us now turn to the quantum oscillator model defined on the twist-deformed phase space [1][3]

$$[\, t, \bar{x}_i \,] = 0 \quad , \quad [\, \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \,] = i f_\kappa(t) \quad , \quad [\, \bar{x}_i, \bar{p}_j \,] = i\hbar\delta_{ij} \quad , \quad [\, \bar{p}_i, \bar{p}_j \,] = 0 \, . \tag{5}$$

It's dynamic is given by the following Hamiltonian function with constant mass m and frequency ω

$$\bar{H}(\bar{p}, \bar{x}) = \frac{1}{2m}\left(\bar{p}_1^2 + \bar{p}_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\left(\bar{x}_1^2 + \bar{x}_2^2\right) \, , \tag{6}$$

In order to analyze the above system we represent the noncommutative variables $(\bar{x}_i, \bar{p}_i)$ on classical phase space (x_i, p_i) as follows (see e.g. [25], [26])

$$\bar{x}_1 = \hat{x}_1 - \frac{f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_2 \quad , \quad \bar{x}_2 = \hat{x}_2 + \frac{f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_1 \, , \tag{7}$$

where

$$[\, \hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j \,] = 0 = [\, \hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j \,] \quad , \quad [\, \hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j \,] = i\hbar\delta_{ij} \, . \tag{8}$$

Then, the Hamiltonian (6) takes the form[4]

$$H_f(t) = \frac{(\hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2)}{2M_f(t)} + \frac{1}{2}M_f(t)\Omega_f^2(t)\left(\hat{x}_1^2 + \hat{x}_2^2\right) - \frac{f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar}m\omega^2\hat{L} \, , \tag{9}$$

with symbol

$$\hat{L} = \hat{x}_1\hat{p}_2 - \hat{x}_2\hat{p}_1 \tag{10}$$

denoting angular momentum of particle. Besides, the coefficients $M_f(t)$ and $\Omega_f(t)$ present in the above formula denote the time-dependent functions given by

$$M_f(t) = \frac{m}{1 + \frac{m^2\omega^2 f_\kappa^2(t)}{4\hbar^2}} \quad , \quad \Omega_f(t) = \omega\sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2\omega^2 f_\kappa^2(t)}{4\hbar^2}} \, , \tag{11}$$

respectively, such that

$$M_f(t)\Omega_f^2(t) = m\omega^2 = \text{const.} \, . \tag{12}$$

Further, we introduce a set of time-dependent creation $(a_A^\dagger(t))$ and annihilation $(a_A(t))$ operators

$$\hat{a}_\pm(t) \;\; = \;\; \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\hbar}}\left[\frac{(\hat{p}_1 \pm i\hat{p}_2)}{\sqrt{M_f(t)\Omega_f(t)}} - i\sqrt{M_f(t)\Omega_f(t)}(\hat{x}_1 \pm i\hat{x}_2)\right] \, , \tag{13}$$

[3]See type **4)** of quantum space-time.

[4]It should be noted that for $f_\kappa(t) = \theta$ we get the canonically deformed oscillator model provided in [26].

satisfying the standard commutation relations

$$[\,\hat{a}_A, \hat{a}_B\,] = 0 \;\;,\;\; [\,\hat{a}_A^\dagger, \hat{a}_B^\dagger\,] = 0 \;\;,\;\; [\,\hat{a}_A, \hat{a}_B^\dagger\,] = \delta_{AB} \;\;;\;\; A, B = \pm\,. \tag{14}$$

Then, one can easily check that in terms of the operators (13) the Hamiltonian function (9) looks as follows

$$\hat{H}_f(t) = \Omega_+(t)\left(\hat{N}_+(t) + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \Omega_-(t)\left(\hat{N}_-(t) + \frac{1}{2}\right)\,, \tag{15}$$

with

$$\Omega_\pm(t) = \Omega_f(t) \mp \frac{f_\kappa(t) m\omega^2}{2\hbar}\,, \tag{16}$$

and number operators in $\pm$ direction given by

$$\hat{N}_\pm(t) = \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger(t)\hat{a}_\pm(t)\,, \tag{17}$$

respectively. Moreover, we see that the energy eigenvectors can be generated in a standard way as follows

$$|n_+, n_-, t> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_+!}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_-!}}\left(\hat{a}_+^\dagger(t)\right)^{n_+}\left(\hat{a}_-^\dagger(t)\right)^{n_-}|0>\;\;. \tag{18}$$

while the corresponding (parameterized by n_+ and n_-) eigenvalues are

$$E_{n_+,n_-}(t) = \Omega_+(t)\left(n_+ + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \Omega_-(t)\left(n_- + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n_+, n_- = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\,. \tag{19}$$

Besides, using operator representation (13) one finds

$$(\Delta\hat{x}_i)^2_{|n_+,n_-,t>}(\Delta\hat{p}_i)^2_{|n_+,n_-,t>} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}(1 + n_+ + n_-)^2\,, \tag{20}$$

where symbol $(\Delta\hat{a})_{|\varphi>}$ denotes the uncertainty of observable $\hat{a}$ in quantum state $|\varphi>$. The above result means that momentum-position uncertainty relations for eigenstates (18) become saturated only for $n_+ = n_- = 0$, i.e. only for vacuum vector $|0>$. Apart from that it is easy to see that the momentum operator (10) can be written as follows

$$\hat{L} = \hbar\left(\hat{a}_-^\dagger(t)\hat{a}_-(t) - \hat{a}_+^\dagger(t)\hat{a}_+(t)\right)\,. \tag{21}$$

while it's action on quantum states (18) is given by

$$\hat{L}|n_+, n_-, t> = \hbar(n_- - n_+)|n_+, n_-, t>\;\;. \tag{22}$$

Consequently, the energy spectrum (19) can be written in terms of eigenvalues (22) as follows

$$E_{n_+,n_-}(t) = \hbar\Omega_f(t)(n_+ + n_- + 1) + \frac{f_\kappa(t) M_f(t)\Omega_f^2(t)}{2}(n_- - n_+)\,. \tag{23}$$

Let us now solve two problems. First of them concerns the construction of so-called coherent states for considered model, i.e. the quantum vectors which saturate the momentum-position Heisenberg uncertainty relations. The second problem applies to the proper interpretation of quantum numbers $n = n_+ + n_-$ and $l = n_- - n_+$ labeling the energy spectrum (23).

Hence, let us consider the quantum states of the form

$$|c_+, c_-, t >= \sum_{n_+,n_-} \frac{c_+^{n_+} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|c_+|^2}}{\sqrt{n_+!}} \frac{c_-^{n_-} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|c_-|^2}}{\sqrt{n_-!}} |n_+, n_-, t > , \tag{24}$$

which play the role of the eigenfunctions for annihilation operators (13)

$$\hat{a}_\pm(t)|c_+, c_-, t >= c_\pm|c_+, c_-, t > \ . \tag{25}$$

By direct calculation one may check that

$$(\Delta p_i)^2_{|c_+,c_-,t>} = \frac{\hbar M_f(t)\Omega_f(t)}{2} \quad , \quad (\Delta x_i)^2_{|c_+,c_-,t>} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\hbar}{M_f(t)\Omega_f(t)} \ , \quad i = 1, 2 \ , \tag{26}$$

what leads to the saturated momentum-position Heisenberg uncertainty relations

$$(\Delta p_i)^2_{|c_+,c_-,t>}(\Delta x_i)^2_{|c_+,c_-,t>} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \ , \quad i = 1, 2 \ . \tag{27}$$

Consequently, we see that the vectors (24) are (in fact) nothing else than the coherent states for twist-deformed oscillator model, satisfying

$$< \hat{H}_f >_{|c_+,c_-,t>} = E_{|0,0,t>}(t) + \frac{\Omega_f(t)}{\hbar}(\Delta L)^2_{|c_+,c_-,t>} + \frac{M_f(t)\Omega_f^2(t) f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar} < L >_{|c_+,c_-,t>} \ , \tag{28}$$

with

$$< L >_{|c_+,c_-,t>} = \hbar(|c_-|^2 - |c_+|^2) \ , \tag{29}$$

$$(\Delta L)^2_{|c_+,c_-,t>} = \hbar^2(|c_-|^2 + |c_+|^2) \ . \tag{30}$$

In the case of second problem one should to solve the eigenvalue equation for Hamiltonian (9) written in terms of polar coordinates

$$\hat{H}_f(t)\psi(r, \varphi, t) = E(t)\psi(r, \varphi, t) \ , \tag{31}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_f(t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_f(t)}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}\frac{\hat{L}^2}{r^2}\right) + \tag{32}$$

$$+ \frac{M_f(t)\Omega_f^2(t)}{2} r^2 - \frac{f_\kappa(t) M_f(t)\Omega_f^2(t)}{2\hbar}\hat{L} \ ,$$

and

$$\hat{L} = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} \quad , \quad [\hat{H}, \hat{L}] = 0 \, . \tag{33}$$

To this aim, it is convenient to take the corresponding eigenfunctions in the form

$$\psi(r, \varphi, t) = \phi(\varphi) R(r, t) \, , \tag{34}$$

with it's azimutal part $\phi(\varphi)$ satisfying

$$\hat{L}\phi_l(\varphi) = \hbar l \phi(\varphi) \quad , \quad \phi_l(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{il\varphi} \, , \quad l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \, . \tag{35}$$

Then, the proper equation for radial function $R(r, t)$ looks as follows

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \frac{l^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{4} - \mathcal{E}_l(t) \right) R_l(\rho(t)) = 0 \, , \tag{36}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_l(t) = \frac{E(t) - \frac{f_\kappa(t) M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t)}{2} l}{\hbar \Omega_f(t)} \, ,$$

where $\rho(t) = r\sqrt{2M_f(t)\Omega_f(t)/\hbar}$ plays the role of dimensionless variable. It's physical solution can be written as

$$R_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) = w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) \mathrm{e}^{-\rho^2(t)/4} \, , \tag{37}$$

with $w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t))$ denoting the polynomial of degree n. Then, the equation (36) reduces to the following one

$$-\frac{\partial^2 w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t))}{\partial\rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho}\frac{\partial w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t))}{\partial\rho} + \tag{38}$$

$$+ \frac{l^2}{\rho^2} w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) - (\mathcal{E}_l(t) - 1) w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) = 0 \, ,$$

for which the solution (this time) is given by[5]

$$w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) = a_l^{(n)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{(n-|l|)/2} \left[\prod_{s=1}^{k} \frac{n + 2 - (2s + |l|)}{l^2 - (2s + |l|)^2} \right] \rho^{2k}(t) \right) \rho^{|l|}(t) \, , \tag{39}$$

only when

$$\mathcal{E}_l(t) \to \mathcal{E}_l^{(n)}(t) = n + 1, \quad l \in \{-n, -n+2, \dots, n-2, n\}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \, , \tag{40}$$

or (equivalently)

$$E_l^{(n)}(t) = \hbar\Omega_f(t)(n + 1) + \frac{f_\kappa(t) M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t)}{2} l \, . \tag{41}$$

Consequently, after substitution $n = n_+ + n_-$ and $l = n_- - n_+$ into eigenvalues (41) we get (in fact) the energy spectrum (23) labeled by n_+ and n_- parameters. For this reason as well as due to the formulas (35), (37) and (41) the quantities n and l may be called the "main" and "azimutal" quantum numbers respectively.

[5]The symbol $a_l^{(n)}$ denotes the normalization factor.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank J. Lukierski for valuable discussions.
This paper has been financially supported by Polish NCN grant No 2011/01/B/ST2/03354.

References

[1] M. Daszkiewicz, C.J. Walczyk, Acta Phys. Pol. B 40, 293 (2009); arXiv: 0812.1264 [hep-th]

[2] H.S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 72, 68 (1947)

[3] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, J.E. Roberts, Phys. Lett. B 331, 39 (1994); Comm. Math. Phys. 172, 187 (1995); hep-th/0303037

[4] A. Kempf and G. Mangano, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7909 (1997); hep-th/9612084

[5] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas, A. Schwarz, JHEP 9802, 003 (1998)

[6] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP 9909, 032 (1999); hep-th/9908142

[7] A. Deriglazov, JHEP 0303, 021 (2003); S. Ghosh, Phys. Lett. B 648, 262 (2007)

[8] V.P. Nair, A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. B 505, 267 (2001); M. Chaichian, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2716 (2001)

[9] P. Kosinski, J. Lukierski, P. Maslanka, Phys. Rev. D 62, 025004 (2000)

[10] M. Chaichian, P. Prešnajder and A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 151602 (2005); G. Fiore, J. Wess, Phys. Rev. D 75, 105022 (2007)

[11] S. Zakrzewski, Comm. Math. Phys. 185, 285 (1997); q-alg/9602001

[12] Y. Brihaye, E. Kowalczyk, P. Maslanka, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 16, 321 (2001); math/0006167

[13] R. Oeckl, J. Math. Phys. 40, 3588 (1999)

[14] M. Chaichian, P.P. Kulish, K. Nashijima, A. Tureanu, Phys. Lett. B 604, 98 (2004)

[15] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23, 7 (2008), IFT-UWR-LV-420; arXiv: 0801.1206 [hep-th]

[16] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, Phys. Lett. B 264, 331 (1991)

[17] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B 293, 344 (1992)

[18] S. Giller, P. Kosinski, M. Majewski, P. Maslanka and J. Kunz, Phys. Lett. B 286, 57 (1992)

[19] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, J. Phys. A 27, 2389 (1994)

[20] J. Lukierski and M. Woronowicz, Phys. Lett. B 633, 116 (2006); hep-th/0508083

[21] O. Ogievetsky, W.B. Schmidke, J. Wess, B. Zumino, Comm. Math. Phys. 150, 495 (1992)

[22] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani, A.M. Scarfone, Eur. Phys. J. C 7, 159 (1999); q-alg/9709032

[23] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23, 1757 (2008), IFT-UWR-LV-724; arXiv: 0807.0133 [hep-th]

[24] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A27 (2012) 1250083; arXiv: 1205.0319 [hep-th]

[25] J. Lukierski, P. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, Ann. Phys. 260, 224 (1997); hep-th/9612017

[26] A. Kijanka, P. Kosinski, Phys. Rev. D 70, 127702 (2004); hep-th/0407246